

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

GEORGE TYRONE DUNLAP,
Plaintiff,
vs.
DWIGHT NEVEN, *et al.*,
Defendant.

2:12-cv-1370-JCM-VCF

ORDER

Before the court is Plaintiff George Dunlap’s motion to strike (#83). Dunlap moves to strike “[his] improper motion requesting judgment on the pleadings” because “[he] misunderstood and misread the court’s order.” (*Id.* at 1). Dunlap’s motion is granted. See *Metzger v. Hussman*, 682 F. Supp. 1109, 1110 (D. Nev. 1988) (Reed, J) (stating that the court has inherent authority to strike a party’s papers and motions).

ACCORDINGLY, and for good cause shown,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff George Tyrone Dunlap's motion to strike (#83) is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that George Tyrone Dunlap's motion for judgment on the pleadings (#80) is STRICKEN.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 21st day of March, 2014.

Cam Ferenbach